|  |
| --- |
| Southwestern College Academic Senate Executive CommitteeMinutes |
|  | DATE: 11/10/2016 | 1:00 P.M - 3:00 p.m. | Room 104B |
|  |
| Facilitator | Andrew C. Rempt, Academic Senate President |
| Note taker | Caree Lesh, AS Communications and Research Officer |
| Voting attendees | Randy Beach, Curriculum co-chair; Susan Yonker, Vice President; Caree Lesh, Research Communications Officer; Cynthia McGregor, Presiding Chair, Janelle Williams, Staff Development Coordinator; Blue = absent |
| Non-Voting | Rob Shaffer, SCEA President; Leslie Yoder, At-Large; Angelina Stuart, AOC co-chair; Diane Edwards LiPera, At-Large; Marie Vicario, At-Large, April Brenner, At-Large |
| PLEASE Read | Minutes: 10/27/16 |
| Guests |  |

## **Agenda Items**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **TOPIC** | **PRESENTER** | **ITEM****TYPE** | **TIME ALLOTTED** | **Action** |
|  | Call to order; approval of agenda, minutes  | Rempty | Action | 5 minutes |  |
| M/S/A on minutes and agenda.  |
|  | Oh Say Can You PFC. | Rempt | Discussion | 10 minutes |  |
| Yay for PFC moving over to the admin side of the house.  |
| Since Senate passed the resolution for PFC we will be reading it at the next GB meeting. We would like others to come read, please let Andrew know if you would like to come.  |
|  | Winner, winner chicken dinner! | Leshy | Discussion | 10 minutes |  |
| Diane will Chair Faculty Recognition Awards if Caree can’t. Caree will start the process with nominations etc.  |
|  | Whose job is it anyway? | Leshy | Discussion | 10 minutes |  |
| 4610 has a troublesome paragraph, #6. Diane thinks hiring criteria for non-credit is in another policy and procedure, maybe 4400 and/or 4100. Diane will find them and look at them to see what they say and see if it makes #6 ok. Diane will also run 4610 by Mia.  |
|  | When will she learn? | Rempty | Discussion | 10 minutes |  |
| The district has sun-shined increased class max, even though they claim they wanted to hear what faculty had to say. We need to have definitive statements of what we want. Andrew is annoyed that it is popping up in negotiations when our plan says investigate, not negotiate. Faculty are leaning towards remaining a high touch, student contact and success campus rather than a larger class size institution. This is professional and curricular issue, but admin has worked around the Senate. Tim comes from Grossmont. Tim has plans to knock out walls to make large classes and he seems to be in charge, so we expect that he will propose a Grossmont College type model. The union will listen very carefully to the faculty senate. It just gets old that admin seems to make plans and then ask faculty to approve of it, rather than working on it together as a team. It was asked if there be time for Curriculum to discuss this? The have control over the course outlines that state class max numbers. Negotiations will begin next Friday. It is likely the class max will remain the same but faculty will be paid to take above class max, which could be seen as not following the class outline. Increasing class max will require changes be made to curriculum. Class max should reflect what is best for teaching, not by finances. Senators were asked to take the info from the EM meeting to their constituents and be prepared to discuss it next week. Separate agenda items for each goal was suggested.  |
|  | I hear ya’ knocking.  | Rempty | Discussion | 10 minutes |  |
| Future agenda items. We discussed having KT come give an eLumen update, we need an update on SLO’s, and a Curriculum update.  |

**Next Academic Senate Exec Meeting: December 8, 2016**